

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 Neva Lema,

NO. C 09-00494 JW

12 Plaintiff,

**SCHEDULING ORDER; STAYING CASE AS
TO DEFENDANT MARIE CALLENDER'S
CONCORD**

13 v.

14 Marie Callender's Concord #86, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 This case is scheduled for a Case Management Conference on June 27, 2011. Pursuant to the
18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of this Court, the parties conferred and duly
19 submitted a Joint Case Management Statement. (See Docket Item No. 25.) In their Joint Statement,
20 Defendants inform the Court that Defendants Marie Callender's Pie Shops, Perkins & Marie
21 Callendar's, Inc. and Perkins & Marie Callendar's Holding, Inc. have filed for Chapter 11
22 bankruptcy in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware and thus, an automatic stay as to
23 these Defendants have been triggered. (Id. at 2-3.) To the extent that Defendants require additional
24 time to determine the impact of this stay on the present action, this determination may be undertaken
25 on a parallel track to the Court's schedule as to avoid further delay of this case. Thus, based on their
26 joint submission, it appears that a schedule for the case as to the remaining Defendants can be set
27 without the necessity of an appearance at this time.
28

Accordingly, the Court STAYS the case as to Defendants Marie Callender's Pie Shops, Perkins & Marie Callendar's, Inc. and Perkins & Marie Callendar's Holding, Inc. and VACATES the Case Management Conference. The parties are ordered to comply with the following schedule as to the remaining Defendants:

CASE SCHEDULE

Close of All Discovery (¶ 9)	March 30, 2012
Last Date for Hearing Dispositive Motions (¶ 10) <i>(≈60 days after the Close of All Discovery)</i>	May 21, 2012
Preliminary Pretrial Conference at 11 a.m. (¶ 12) <i>(≈30 days before the Close of All Discovery)</i>	February 27, 2012
Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements (¶ 11) <i>(Due 10 days before conference)</i>	February 17, 2012

None of the dates set in this Order may be changed without an order of the Court made after a motion is filed pursuant to the Civil Local Rules of Court.

Standing Order to Lodge Printed Copy of "ECF" Papers

1. In all cases, including cases covered by the Electronic Case Filing System of the Court "ECF," when filing papers in connection with any motion or any pretrial conference, in addition to filing the paper electronically, the filing parties shall lodge with the Clerk's Office a printed copy of the papers, in an envelop clearly marked "Chamber's Copy – Lodged for the Chambers of Judge James Ware." The "Chamber's Copy" envelop must state the case name and case number and be delivered on or before the close of the next court day following the day the papers are filed electronically. See Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil Cases.

Compliance with Discovery Plan and Reference to Magistrate Judge

2. The parties are ordered to comply with the discovery plan as set forth in the Case Schedule. Any disputes with respect to the implementation of the discovery plan and all disclosure or discovery disputes are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge. In addition, any disputes pertaining to service or joinder of parties or claims are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

Document Management During Pretrial Discovery and Electronic Evidence Presentation

3. This Court has available a digital and video electronic evidence presentation system. Before commencement of pretrial discovery, the parties are ordered to familiarize themselves with the system, and to meet and confer about whether the case will involve voluminous documentary. If so, as the parties identify documentary material which is likely to be used as trial exhibits, the parties are ordered to electronically store these materials in a fashion which will facilitate displaying them electronically during the trial. The parties are reminded that Civil L.R. 30-2(b) requires sequential numbering of exhibits during depositions and that numbering must be maintained for those exhibits throughout the litigation. Each proposed exhibit shall be pre-marked for identification. All exhibits shall be marked with numerals. The parties shall meet and confer on a division which will avoid duplication (e.g., Plaintiff: 1-99,000; Defendant #1: 100,000-299,999; Defendant #2: 300,000-500,000).

Disclosure of Expert Witnesses

4. Any party wishing to present expert witness testimony with respect to a claim or a defense shall lodge with the Court and serve on all other parties the name, address, qualifications, résumé and a written report which complies with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) **63 days before close of discovery**. Expert witness disclosure must be made with respect to a person who is either (a) specially retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony pursuant to Fed.R.Evid. 702 or (b) a regular employee or agent or treating physician who may be called to provide expert opinion testimony.

5. The parties are also required to lodge any supplemental reports to which any expert will testify at trial in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B).

6. Any party objecting to the qualifications or proposed testimony of an expert must file, serve and notice a motion to exclude the expert or any portion of the expert's testimony in writing in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-2, for hearing no later than **42 DAYS AFTER BOTH EXPERT AND REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES ON A MONDAY (LAW AND**

MOTION DAY) at 9:00 a.m. and preferably before or on the same day as the discovery cutoff date at 9:00 a.m.

Rebuttal Expert Witnesses

7. If the testimony of the expert is intended solely to contradict or rebut opinion testimony on the same subject matter identified by another party, the party proffering a rebuttal expert shall make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), no later than **49 days prior to discovery cutoff.**

Limitation on Testimony by Expert Witnesses

8. Unless the parties enter into a written stipulation otherwise, upon timely objection, an expert witness shall be precluded from testifying about any actions or opinions not disclosed prior to the expert's deposition. This is to ensure that all factual material upon which expert opinion may be based and all tests and reports are completed prior to the expert deposition. Unless application is made prior to the close of expert discovery, each party will be limited to calling only one expert witness in each discipline involved in the case.

Close of Discovery

9. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 26-2, all discovery, including supplemental disclosure, depositions of fact witness and expert witnesses, must be completed on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above.

Last date for Hearing Dispositive Motions

10. The last day for hearing dispositive motions is set forth in the Case Schedule above. Any motions must be noticed in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of this Court.

Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order


11. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to confer with one another and to file and lodge with Chambers on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order, stating their readiness for trial, the amount of time which the Court should allocate for trial and the calendar period for the trial.

1 12. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to appear on the date set in
2 the Case Schedule at 11:00 a.m. for a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference.

3 13. With respect to the time allocation for trial, at the Preliminary Pretrial and
4 Trial Setting Conference trial counsel will be asked to stipulate to a time allocation to each side for
5 the trial of the case. Once a stipulated allocation has been

6 14. entered, the parties must plan their presentations to conform to the stipulated
7 time allocation.

8
9 Dated: June 21, 2011



JAMES WARE
United States District Chief Judge

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

Gene A. Farber genefarber@gmail.com
Mark Lemar Eisenhut meisenhut@calljensen.com
Ryan Michael McNamara rmcnamara@calljensen.com
Scott J. Ferrell sferrell@trialnewport.com
Timothy Squire Thimesch tim@thimeschlaw.com

Dated: June 21, 2011

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: /s/ JW Chambers
Susan Imbriani
Courtroom Deputy